Form C-104 Rev. 02/2009

VALUE ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

| Conceptual Proposal Final Proposal | Date <u>8-1/- //</u> |
|---|---|
| Contract ID //02/8-502 | Job No |
| County Barne I-70 | Original Bid Cost 4730, 028 28 |
| Contractor Widel, Inc. | By Sop Widel |
| Designed By | Phone 660-846-3791 |
| VECP# 11-54 (to be completed by C.O.) | VECP or PDVECP |
| 1. Description of existing requirements and proposed. 1. To delete west bound lane saw cuch 2. Delete 155.55 sque of West bound A-2 3. Delete Vinctor of Sype I Aggregate Show 4. Hemore of Applace guardeail, 1050 feet 5. Delete 1050 feet (plus tapers) of Supposers 2. Estimate of reduction in construction costs. 3. Prediction of any effects the proposed change(s) we | Inoffic Lerrow # 53,381 50 (see attach |
| maintenance and operations. | *************************************** |
| NONE | |
| | • |
| | |
| 4. Anticipated date for submittal of detailed change Specifications. | (s) of items required by Section 104.6 of the |
| • | A = 41 |
| - Aug 11 | date) |
| 5. Deadline for issuing a change order to obtain max contract completion time or delivery schedule. | rimum cost reduction, noting the effect of |
| UNKNOWN | |
| (date) | (effect) |
| 6. Dates of any previous or concurrent submission of | of the same proposal. |
| , | · |
| NoNE (date or | nd/or dates) |
| (uate a | indication dates. |

Additional Comments:

| ** Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by MoDOT ** | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Comn | encourage | for has a very good suggestion as - thinking outsit the box. I veguest This is a PDVECP ting Shoother in place gust just rem hards sulf Submitted By Resident Engineer | ve approve | |
| | | | <u> </u> | |
| Comp | nents: 19(ce that the | proposul concept is a good i | de l'entre | |
| U5 | & white o | KISTING Shoulder and guard(21) | - w-Disco my | |
| elip | inste toffic | _ control items in elea. TE will be | 2 d 75/25 split | |
| Ø' | Approval Recommended | Till furn | 8/16/11 | |
| | Rejection Recommended | District Engineer | Date | |
| Comr | nents: | | | |
| | | | · · · | |
| | Approval Recommended | N/A | | |
| | Rejection Recommended | Federal Highway Administration Required for FHWA Full Oversight Projects | Date | |
| Com | ments: | | | |
| | 25% | | | |
| X | Approval | Digitally signed by Dennis Brucks DN: cn=Dennis Brucks, o=Field Office, ou=6N25, email=Dennis.Brucks@modot.mo.gov, c=US Date: 2011.08.22 09:34:16-05'00' | | |
| | Rejection | State Construction and Materials Engineer | Date | |

| ltem | Qunatity | Unit Costs | Total | |
|----------------------|----------|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| Type A Guardrail | 1178 | \$14.50 | \$ | 17,081.00 |
| A2 Soulder | 155 | \$55.00 | \$ | 8,525.00 |
| 4" Type 1 Base Rock | 155 | \$5,00 | \$ | 775.00 |
| Temp Traffic Barrier | 1500 | \$18.00 | \$ | 27,000.00 |
| • | | • | | |
| | · | | \$ | 53,381.00 |

執

Contract ID: 110218-502

Job No.: J5I2202

Interstate Route 70 EB & WB Slide Repair Boone County

Widel, Inc. has submitted a Value Engineering Proposal to eliminate the removal of guardrail and shoulder along the northern slide area for the I-70 Westbound portion of the contract. By eliminating its removal there will be no need to place temporary traffic barrier along the shoulder edge along with numerous traffic control signs.

Current plan sheets, along with existing conditions, indicate that the slide repair area is adjacent to the shoulder and not encompassing the shoulder, thus the existing shoulder is in stable condition and the contractor requested to leave it in place and work the slide up to the existing outside edge plus two feet from the existing guardrail. Their proposal is sound and feasible as the western portion of the northern repair area is being corrected in the same manner.

A second thing in the contractor's favor is the moisture content in the soil. This soil is very cohesive and moist. The contractor does not have to manipulate the soil and apply additives to make it workable, thus the time needed to work an open area is shortened.

I really feel that we are getting a great value here.

Charles Sullivan, P.E.
Resident Engineer – CD, Columbia Project Office

VALUE ENGINEERING CHECK SHEET

TYPE OF WORK

(Check one that applies)

- o Bridge/Structure/Footings
- o Drainage Structures (RCP, RCB, CMP's, ect.)
- o TCP/MOT
- o Paving (PCCP, ect.)
- Grading/MSE Walls
- o Signal/Lighting/ITS
- o Misc

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

(If needed, condense summary to a couple of lines)

Eliminate removal of guardrail and shoulder. Repair slide from behind guardrail, working from the bottom of the slope to the top.

SCANNING OF DOCUMENT

| If the proposal is large, please mark or make note, which pages need to be scanned into the database. It there are special instructions, make note of them here. | If |
|--|----|
| | |
| | |

| | | | • |
|---|--|--|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| • | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |